UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

IN RE:)	CASE NO: 16-31928 CHAPTER 11
ENERGY XXI, LTD, ET AL.,)))	Houston, Texas
Debtors.)	Monday, November 14, 2016 (4:30 p.m. to 5:26 p.m.) (5:51 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.)

HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID R. JONES, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES: (Continued on page 2)

For Debtors: REESE A. O'CONNOR, ESQ.

HARRY A. PERRIN, ESQ. Vinson Elkins, LLP

VIIISOII EIKIIIS, LLIP

1001 Fannin St., Suite 2500

Houston, TX 77002

DAVID S. MEYER, ESQ. JESSICA C. PEET, ESQ. Vinson Elkins, LLP

666 Fifth Avenue, 26th Floor

New York, NY 10103

Courtroom Deputy/ECRO: Diyana Staples

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES FOR: (CONTINUED)

Debtors: MATTHEW W. MORAN, ESQ.

JORDAN W. LEU, ESQ.

Vinson & Elkins

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700

Dallas, TX 75201

Wilmington Trust Company TODD C. MEYERS, ESQ. As EGC Unsecured Notes Kilpatrick Townsend

Trustee: 1100 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2800

Atlanta, GA 30309

Jim Latimer: JOHN F. HIGGINS, IV, ESQ.

AARON POWER, ESQ. Porter Hedges

1000 Main St., Suite 3600

Houston, TX 77002

Independent Director of ASHLEY L. HARPER, ESQ.

Energy XXI Gulf Coast: Andrews Kurth

600 Travis, Suite 4200

Houston, TX 77002

Delaware Trust PHILIP D. ANKER, ESQ.

as Indenture Trustee, Wilmer Cutler Pickering, et al.

et al.:

7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007

Official Committee of DEIRDRE C. BROWN, ESQ. Equity Security Holders: EDWARD L. ROTHBERG, ESQ.

Hoover Slovacek Galleria Tower II

5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200

Houston, TX 77056

Ad Hoc Group of EGC HARRISON DENMAN, ESQ.

Unsecured Noteholders: White & Case

1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

Wells Fargo: ANA ALFONSO, ESQ.

Willkie Farr Gallagher 600 Travis St., Suite 2310

Houston, TX 77002

APPEARANCES FOR: (CONTINUED)

Ad Hoc Committee of SAMUEL KHALIL, ESQ.

Second Lien Lenders: Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy

28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005

ANDREW M. LE BLANC, ESQ.

Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy 1850 K Street, NW, Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20006

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:

ADAM S. RAVIN, ESQ. Latham & Watkins 885 Third Ave. New York, NY 10022

TRISTAN E. MANTHEY, ESQ.

WILLIAM H. PATRICK, III, ESQ.

Heller Draper, et al.

650 Poydras St., Suite 2500

New Orleans, LA 70130

Exxon Mobil: KELLI NORFLEET, ESQ.

Haynes & Boone

1221 McKinney St., Suite 2100

Houston, TX 77010

Wilmington Savings

Fund Society:

PAUL J. RICOTTA, ESQ. Mintz Levin, et al. One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02111

Cheramie Marine: MARK HILL, ESQ. (via phone)

Waits Emmet Popp & Teich 1515 Poydras St., Suite 1950

New Orleans, LA 70112

Equity Committee: CYNTHIA SPARACINO, ESQ. (via phone)

```
6
 1
              THE COURT: All right. Say that again. I've got to
 2
    get used to that. "Harper"?
 3
              MS. HARPER: Harper.
 4
              THE COURT: Harper. All right.
 5
              MS. HARPER: Yeah.
              THE COURT: That's going to take me -- it's like I
 6
 7
    still called Ms. Northrup [sic] Ms. Stevenson so then that's
 8
    been what? Five or six years then? So that's going to take
 9
    some getting used to.
10
              MS. HARPER: Me too.
              THE COURT: Congratulations again.
11
12
              MR. RICOTTA: Good afternoon, your Honor. Paul
13
    Ricotta of Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo. I'm
14
    counsel for Wilmington Savings Fund Society, the Indenture
15
    Trustee for the Three Percent Convertible Noteholders.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Good
17
    afternoon.
18
              MR. HIGGINS: Good afternoon, your Honor.
19
    Higgins, Aaron Power, for Jim Latimer.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon.
              MR. MANTHEY: Judge, I know Mr. Patrick introduced me
21
22
    but apparently no one is hearing anything on the phone and I
23
    wanted to raise that with the court.
24
              THE COURT: Is that right? So no one can hear
25
    anything?
```

```
1
              MR. MANTHEY: No one can hear anything as of yet.
 2
              THE COURT: Ask the --
 3
              MR. MANTHEY: (indiscernible).
 4
         (Pause)
 5
              THE COURT: Ask those folks if you --
              MR. HILL: Judge, this is Mark Hill with Waits Emmet
 6
 7
    Popp and Teich, New Orleans. I can hear you fine on the phone.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Well thank you.
 9
         (Voices on phone overlapping)
10
              MS. SPARACINO: Judge, this is Cynthia Sparacino, a
11
    member of the Equity Committee, and I can hear you perfectly.
12
              THE COURT: Terrific.
13
              Folks, and I -- this may be hard if they can't hear
14
    anything, but to the extent that you folks are communicating by
15
    text or email, perhaps we had a problem with the dial-in
16
    number, perhaps you saw it earlier, we got a work-around number
17
    and we've gotten the original number reactivated again. So if
18
    you'd communicate, ask those folks to simply hang up, dial back
19
    in on the old number,
20
              MR. SPEAKER: Okay.
21
              THE COURT: -- and hopefully that will correct the
22
    problem. If not, let me know and I'll do the best I can. All
23
    right, folks on the telephone, there are 73 callers currently
24
    on the line. I'm going to activate the hand-raise feature. If
25
    you wish to make an appearance, you'll need to use the star-
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

(No audible response)

which number did you dial in on?

that is on the telephone?

Cheramie Marine, LLC.

the code 6-9-2-2-7-3.

make an appearance?

- 18 All right, well, are the news reports that are flying 19 around accurate?
 - MR. MEYER: I guess you always have to be careful with the way to answer a question like that, make sure you know the right news reports. But --
- 23 THE COURT: Right, fair enough.
- 24 MR. MEYER: Your Honor, we have great news today 25 coming out of extensive discussions that we previewed for you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and told you where we hoped we'd get at the last time we were here on a status conference. We have global agreement among all of our creditor groups. And shortly before this hearing we filed an amended plan, disclosure statement supplement, plan support agreement, and a scheduling motion that ties to that agreement. We ran up to the wire coming in to today's hearing, and we really needed every available minute to get this done. This reflects a tremendous amount of hard work by all of the parties and professionals, and I'd like to extend my thanks to all those parties. A couple of other thank you's out of the box, your Honor, just on behalf of the company, wanted to make sure to thank all of the company's hardworking and loyal employees for their significant contributions to the enterprise throughout this case. This helped us get to today. As well as thank Judge Clark again for his hard work during mediation that in no question helped steer us and assist us to getting to a fantastic result today. And lastly, your Honor, I'd like to thank your Honor and your staff. This is an understatement here to say that the negotiations are complex and these were very hard-fought discussions and negotiations among all of the groups, and your flexibility with hearing times and dealing with our scheduling modifications, if you will, along the way here has been very much appreciated, and thank you for that as well.

Your Honor, I'll give a quick overview of some of --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in part the plan modifications that were reflected. At bottom, it's largely a similar plan in many respects to what we originally we filed with -- and that is a massive deleveraging of the company's capital structure and eliminating an excess of \$3 billion in funded debt. There are, of course, different allocations of equity among other things that are a part of this plan and at -- out of the box what we're looking at is the second lien lenders -- noteholders, excuse me, receiving 84 percent of the equity in the reorganized company. The EGC intercompany notes trust, you may recall we had a settlement provision that contemplated kicking a bunch of the intercompany litigation down the road. That's been eliminated from this version of the plan. All of those issues are settled and the independent directors each -- support each of those settlements. In addition to all those intercompany issues being resolved, the repurchased bonds that are held by EGC are not receiving any recovery under this plan. The EGC unsecured noteholders will receive 12 percent

The EGC unsecured noteholders will receive 12 percent of the equity under the reorganized company along with 60 percent of the warrant package. The EPL unsecured noteholders will receive four percent of the equity along with 40 percent of that warrant package. The convertible noteholders, their distribution is changed into a form of cash, a \$2 million pot for those folks, and the GUC distribution for general unsecured creditors is increased from \$850,000 to \$1.47 million,

reflecting an increase from 4.3 percent to about seven and a half percent on account of those claims, based on our estimates.

Your Honor, the plan support agreement was an important part of this as well, just given how complex and challenging this case has been from -- under the negotiations as well. The one thing I'd really flag as part of that plan support agreement that I anticipate we'll be talking about with you today is timing and how that's important. All the creditor groups believe that emerging, the company emerging this year is very important. And in line with that, the plan support agreement has a milestone for confirmation hearing to commence on December 15th. In addition, the first lien agent has agreed to extend the use of cash collateral through the end of the year to December 31st. And I'd note that while the indentured trustees are not signatories to the plan support agreement, they are plan support parties.

The one major constituency that we do not have an agreement with right now, your Honor, is the Equity Committee. We have continued to keep the Equity Committee in the loop on all the discussions. We have shared documents with them as we go here. We've shared copies of the disclosure statement and the deal documents with them last week to try to help facilitate their ability to comment and provide -- potentially resolve issues associated with adequacy of disclosure. My

1 understanding right now from talking in the hallway with 2 Ms. Brown and Mr. Rothberg shortly before this hearing is we have resolved all of their disclosure-related objections but 3 for a couple I'll highlight right now, which is first they want 4 5 to make sure that the management incentive plan is disclosed in advance of confirmation, and we intend disclosing those 6 7 documents as part of the plan supplement that would be filed on They also want to make sure that the identity 8 November 23rd. of the directors and officers are disclosed prior to 10 confirmation. I've confirmed and can confirm for the record as 11 well that consistent with 1129(a)(5) that's exactly what we'll 12 do. 13 And there are two additional points that are 14 disclosure-related. The first is they would like an addition 15 to the disclosure statement believing that -- stating that the 16 disclosure statement should contain additional information 17 associated with the causes of action to be released pursuant to 18 the plan. But they've also agreed that we can say that we 19

to the disclosure statement believing that -- stating that the disclosure statement should contain additional information associated with the causes of action to be released pursuant to the plan. But they've also agreed that we can say that we don't believe any further disclosure is necessary on this point and that will resolve that concern from their standpoint. And the second being the Equity Committee filed a motion this afternoon, they wanted that also to be referenced in the disclosure statement as well, and we're fine making that modification as well. We'll be meeting with the Equity Committee this week. And we do not have an agreement with this

20

21

22

23

24

time as I said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the scheduling motion, of course, needs to tee-up what a confirmation process would look like to the extent that we're going to be having a contested confirmation hearing. I think that the one most important part of that schedule from our standpoint, your Honor, is there's no need for additional time her to discuss any further discovery or any additional depositions that will need to be taken. There have been 46 depositions in this case, 20 depositions involved Debtors' current and former personnel. We had ten depositions of experts, three depositions each for Debtor consultants, third parties, and the second lien noteholders. And with the Equity Committee being the -- at this point the only major constituency that's objecting to confirmation, we really view this being a limited dispute revolving around valuation. plan and disclosure statement recoveries from our standpoint provide a pretty clear picture that the second lien noteholders are receiving recovery between 19 and 27 and a half cents on their claims; the EGC unsecured noteholders between 6.9 and 10.7 percent; and the EPL unsecured noteholders recovering approximately 8.1 to 13.4 percent on those claims. At bottom we think Equity is way out of the money. And they've certainly had an opportunity to participate in the many depositions that have already gone on during this case associated with other issues.

On that valuation, what we envision this looking like going forward, by November 23rd as part of the scheduling order we proposed we provide an updated expert report that would roll forward, assuming that we have an effective date of December 31st. The Equity Committee thereafter will have a little over a week to depose our experts and can issue a supplemental report from their expert, Mr. Johnson, to the extent they elect to. We think this is plenty of time. And really nothing from a methodology standpoint is changing at this point. It's really rolling the reserve report two months and strip pricing's around the same, if not lower, based on the time the last PJT report was submitted.

I would also add, your Honor, that the global agreement between the creditors, that all came out of mediation in which the Equity Committee participated in and had an active role in here, so they're really -- they've been at the table on that for -- and we'll see where we get on a going forward basis.

So what are we asking for today? I realize it's a little bit unorthodox. What we're hoping today, your Honor, is that we can have the -- a finding from the Court that the disclosure statement supplement contains adequate information. We also would ask the Court to approve our scheduling order. What this tees up is we have December 8th currently with you already, your Honor, for a proposed confirmation hearing, and

1 we would allow them to have approximately three weeks for 2 revoting and for parties to evaluate the new plan and vote by that December 2nd date. And in connection with that disclosure 3 4 statement, your Honor, Mr. Schiller, the company's present 5 Chief Executive Officer, is in the courtroom to the extent that 6 you would like testimony as to the adequacy and the accuracy of 7 the disclosure statement. THE COURT: All right, let me hear from everybody 8 9 else and then we'll come back to that, all right? MR. MEYER: 10 Thank you. Thank you. Anyone else wish to make 11 THE COURT: 12 comments? Mr. Patrick? 13 MR. PATRICK: Your Honor, William Patrick for the 14 Creditors Committee. We are pleased to be here today in 15 support of the Debtors' new plan and the plan support agreement 16 on the terms stated therein. It has been a long process. 17 Where we are today I think is the direct result of a line of 18 questions that your Honor initiated on September 13 of the 19 status conference. When you asked the major parties' attorneys 20 if they thought that mediation would be helpful, you received I 21 think the appropriate responses, appointed Judge Clark, there 22 was mediation. At the start of the mediation there were no 23 viable, good lines of communications between the parties, 24 notwithstanding efforts, but Judge Clark did establish lines of

Even after sort of the end of the face-to-face

25

communication.

- 1 mediation he -- those lines of communication remained opened
- 2 and allowed this case to become settled. And so we're
- 3 particularly pleased that everybody, all the creditors' groups,
- 4 have agreed to it, that the independent directors have agreed
- 5 to the settlement, and we on the Creditors Committee, having
- 6 opposed the original plan, intend to send a letter, subject to
- 7 approval of the disclosure statement, of course, in support of
- 8 this plan.
- 9 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Mr. Rothberg or
- 10 Mr. Anker?
- 11 MR. ROTHBERG: If you could wait for me to be last --
- 12 **THE COURT:** Fair enough, all right.
- 13 MR. ANKER: Good afternoon, your Honor, Philip Anker,
- 14 | Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale, and Dorr for the EPL
- 15 | noteholders and the indentured trustee. As Mr. Meyer said,
- 16 this settlement was the product of enormously long, lengthy,
- 17 difficult, arms' length to say the least, negotiations. It is
- 18 | not a settlement that leaves everyone happy and thrilled, but
- 19 | that's the way most settlements should work. I think it's fair
- 20 to say in the interest of full disclosure that one of the big
- 21 lissues here is valuation, and we probably think we're getting a
- 22 higher recovery than the Debtor might say based on its
- 23 valuation because we have a more robust view of value. But
- 24 | nevertheless, no one on our side thinks we're getting par plus
- 25 accrued. And given the absolute priority rule, that says

- something about unfortunately the position of equity here. I should also say the settlement reflects heavy negotiations over the validity of a series of intercompany disputes, sounding and
- 4 preference liability, a re-characterization, equitable
- 5 subordination, you name the theory, we talked about it, sought
- 6 standing for it, posed it, and discussed it at great length,
- 7 and this is the product of very heavy negotiations relating
- 8 thereto.
- 9 **THE COURT:** All right, thank you.
- 10 MR. ANKER: Thank you.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Anyone else?
- 12 MS. ALFONSO: For the record, Ana Alfonso for the
- 13 | first lien agent.
- THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
- MS. ALFONSO: Your Honor, we were very glad to hear
- 16 that these creditor groups have finally reached agreement on
- 17 how to whack up the equity here. Wells cut its deal about six
- 18 months ago now, and without meaning to assign any blame or
- 19 throw a wet blanket on the proceedings, it did cost a lot of
- 20 | time and expense to get where we are right now, unfortunately
- 21 | for all of us. We were asked to extend cash collateral and we
- 22 have agreed that we -- well, we don't have an order today,
- 23 | we'll get one in tomorrow. I forgot it runs out tomorrow.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Right.
- 25 MS. ALFONSO: But we will extend it through December

1 31st. However, I just want to caution the Court and the 2 parties that extending past December 31st would be a problem. 3 When we agreed to the first lien's treatment, there were expectations regarding the liquidity that the company would 4 5 have on emergence and that was based on where we were 6 financially in September. We are concerned. There was a 7 minimum liquidity requirement under our proposed treatment and we would just want to make sure that that doesn't get 8 9 jeopardized as a result of any delay. So while I do understand 10 that the Equity Committee has every right to be heard, I would just urge the Court to please hold the parties to December 31 11 12 emergence, thank you. 13 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Yes, sir? 14 MR. SPEAKER: Your Honor, on behalf of the EGC 15 unsecured ad hoc group, we would just reiterate that there --16 as you heard, there is a deal and our ad hoc group is 17 supportive. It's reflected in the PSA that has the support of 18 most of the bonds comprising our group, and to that point we 19 are supportive of what the Debtors are seeking today. 20 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 21 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. 22 MR. MEYERS: Briefly, your Honor, Todd Meyers with 23 Kilpatrick, Townsend for the EGC notes trustee. As Mr. Meyer 24 mentioned, for various procedural reasons trustees don't

But we have no present

typically sign plan support agreements.

1 intent to object to the deal that's on the table, and we are

2 | treated as a plan support party as long as we don't solicit

3 against the plan or object. And obviously we need to continue

4 to hear from holders, but the holders that have been active in

5 | the case are certainly happy with the deal and we have no

6 reason to think that it's not a good deal, so --

THE COURT: All right, got it.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEYERS: -- thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Rothberg, I think that leaves you.

MR. ROTHBERG: Thank you, your Honor. I think the primary issue that I'm seeing that kind of overlays this entire thing is that when we were here at the last status conference and we were all talking about timing and confirmation and we were talking about a global deal, okay, the global deal was supposed to include the Equity. I can tell you, Judge, from glancing at the papers that have been filed, every single creditor constituency group has received an increase in their treatment, I guess except the two L's who got -- took a little bit less, and the treatment of the Equity has remained exactly the same. We participated in the mediation. There has been absolutely no attempt to include Equity in anything regarding the case. Now, of course, the big bogey, so to speak, and what everybody's pointing to is valuation and the absolute priority And we have our experts and they have their experts and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we know we'll have a trial and you'll decide the valuation.

But that's not the only issue.

We have two other primary issues that we have been tasked with by the Court to deal with. One of those issues is the potential for discrimination between old equity and the -any officers or directors who are insiders and what they might receive under a management incentive plan. Okay, that's one of our specific charges to look at. And we have not -- we have a -- essentially a one-paragraph description of the management incentive plan. And that was going to be our objection to the disclosure statement today, that they need to disclose that, but Mr. Meyer has told us that they will disclose the actual management incentive plan itself, a document that describes it, by November 23rd; plus, in order to evaluate that and whether or not those officers and directors who will be subject of the management incentive plan are receiving equity either on the basis of their prepetition equity or because of their future work, we need to know who the officers and directors are going to be. And so far we only have one name, Mr. Schiller. just asked Mr. Meyer for clarification. He said they would be disclosing the names of the officers and directors, but I'm hoping he meant that by the same deadline, November 23rd, so that we have an opportunity to know who we're talking about.

Is that going to be a problem, Mr. Meyer?

THE COURT:

1 -- for the record, Sam Khalil from Milbank and Tweed on behalf 2 of the second lien lenders. The way the plan works is there is a -- the second lien holders select the board members. There's 3 a consultation right with respect to one of those board members 4 5 with the EGC and the EPL ad hoc groups. That selection process is underway. I don't know if the selection process as well as 6 7 the consultation process will be completed by November 23rd. It will be completed before confirmation, understanding that's 8 a requirement, but exactly when that happens I don't know. 10 THE COURT: But those two directors don't participate 11 in the management incentive plan, do they? 12 MR. KHALIL: The way the management incentive -- now, 13 I'll clarify that, and I think this should hopefully address 14 Mr. Rothberg's concern. The management incentive plan will be 15 determined by the new board. There is a requirement to 16 distribute some portion within I believe it's 120 days, but 17 that -- whether it's stock or options, all determined by the 18 new board. Who gets it, how much of it, how it's structured, 19 all determined by the new board. I think that the creditors 20 wanted to have a clean sort of separation and they structured it in -- with this in mind, that we will select a new board of 21 22 independent parties and they will be tasked with the job of, 23 you know, creating a MIP (phonetic) and allocating an --24 THE COURT: But with those two appointees, could they 25 potentially be recipients under the management incentive plan?

- 1 | allocated at 120 days. Who it goes to will be a decision for
- 2 | the new board. My expectation would be consistent with
- 3 Mr. Khalil's.
- 4 THE COURT: Right, but that would be unusual for
- 5 | constituent board member appointees to actually participate in
- 6 | that plan, wouldn't it?
- 7 MR. MEYER: In my experience, I agree with that, it
- 8 | would be unusual because the board more likely than not's going
- 9 to want that to be allocated to other individuals.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Okay, so expect for board members that
- 11 | are being appointed pursuant to that agreement, can we identify
- 12 management by the 23rd?
- 13 MR. MEYER: (No audible response)
- 14 THE COURT: Doesn't mean it can't change but I don't
- 15 know why the reluctance --
- 16 MR. MEYER: I think what we can say, and we'd have to
- 17 | talk to some of the other plan support parties about this, of
- 18 | course, particularly given the way our deals come together and
- 19 | it's currently structured, but I do think that we would be in a
- 20 position more likely than not to be able to disclose to
- 21 Mr. Rothberg and the Court and others where we sit and where we
- 22 | anticipate being on the 23rd. And then, of course, if it gets
- 23 revised, it could be amended. But I think that that would be
- 24 something that should be able to happen.
- 25 | THE COURT: All right, that wasn't the warm fuzzy I

```
24
 1
    was hoping for. I don't know why that's so tough. What am I
 2
    missing? I mean, why is it that tough to say here's my CEO,
 3
    here's my vice president of this, my vice president of that,
    with the understanding that those things could change? Does
 4
 5
    the deal involve --
              MR. MEYER: I'll go first and then I'll turn it to
 6
 7
    Mr. Khalil, I think that we can -- his clients, of course, are
    going to have a very important voice in that and so we'll
 8
 9
    continue to work with them and --
10
              THE COURT:
                         Right.
11
              MR. MEYER:
                         -- I would like to be in a spot where we
12
    can disclose all those folks.
13
              THE COURT: But you know who they are today, right?
14
                          I sure do, yes.
              MR. MEYER:
15
              THE COURT:
                         And so you can say here is the Debtors'
16
    current management, you can describe rights of input that other
17
    constituents may have based upon the agreements that you've
18
    been -- that you've reached, but you can say with clarity on
19
    today who management is and who it's anticipated to be subject
20
    to whatever other rights and inputs that you've agreed to
21
    consider as part of your deal, right?
22
              MR. MEYER: That works from my standpoint, your
23
    Honor.
24
              MR. KHALIL: I was going to say the (indiscernible)
```

All right, so,

THE COURT:

```
25
1
    Mr. Rothberg, I mean, that's probably about as much certainty
 2
    as you're ever going to get.
 3
              MR. ROTHBERG: Well, here's the problem, your Honor,
    and I'm -- we're not objecting on that basis to the disclosure
 4
 5
    based on the representation that --
 6
              THE COURT: Right.
 7
              MR. ROTHBERG: -- Mr. Meyer said that they're going
    to do that.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Right, but you're --
10
              MR. ROTHBERG: But --
                         -- teeing it up for your 1129 fight.
11
              THE COURT:
12
              MR. ROTHBERG: Right, exactly.
13
              THE COURT: Yeah.
14
              MR. ROTHBERG: And so in order for us to be prepared
15
    in any discovery we need to do, --
16
              THE COURT: Right.
17
              MR. ROTHBERG: -- we need to know who those people
18
    are and with respect to -- if any of them are insiders, we have
19
    to know what their proposed compensation is. That's what
20
    1129(a)(5) requires.
21
              THE COURT:
                         Right.
22
              MR. ROTHBERG: So if we wait until the 23rd, --
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. ROTHBERG: -- which is okay, we run -- we're
```

```
1 December the 8th because --
```

THE COURT: Why?

3 MR. ROTHBERG: Well --

THE COURT: Well, let -- actually let me help you there. We will not -- we are not going to run into a problem on December the 8th. On December the 8th, we're going to start confirmation. So you probably -- as you think through this, probably need to work backwards and tell me what it is you need, you know. If you need from me to order the Debtor by tomorrow to tell you who their management is, subject to change, I'll certainly contemplate that. But December the 8th, we're going to start confirmation.

MR. ROTHBERG: Your Honor, at the last status conference I think it was acknowledged by you that you couldn't do December 8th without a global deal.

THE COURT: Uh-huh, well, I've changed my mind.

MR. ROTHBERG: Okay. Your Honor, the other point with respect to the timing is that as you remember, there's been a litigation hold, and so we have essentially stopped working on discovery. And as you remember, we -- or you may remember, you may not, we had a subpoena out to BDO (phonetic) for documents. And how that relates to the other -- one of the other charge of the committee was is, what causes of action exist that are being released?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROTHBERG: And we have done a substantial amount of discovery on that. And with respect to the disclosure statement, as Mr. Meyer indicated, we're going to agree that they can put a provision in that the committee believes there are causes of action that are not disclosed and that there's no consideration being paid in exchange for those causes of action. That's fine for the disclosure.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROTHBERG: But once we get those BDO documents, which I think we're supposed to start getting something this week, we have to review them obviously, and obviously we may have to take depositions to develop the evidence to bring to the Court at the confirmation hearing about the causes of action that exist that are not being released. And, your Honor, I just don't see that we're -- with Thanksgiving holiday in between -- and I'm going to go over some of the scheduling dates in their order -- that that's really feasible.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ROTHBERG: And, your Honor, I would like to comment on the statement about the milestones with the December 31 deadline. We've had in this case, your Honor, multiple milestones missed. The original restructuring support agreement, if I remember, had a milestone for plan to be confirmed I believe in August or September. And every time there was a dispute, it was pushed off so that there could be

1 negotiations. I don't -- originally, if you recall, your 2 Honor, the reason for the rush was the hurricane season. that's gone, the hurricane season is over. And now the reason 3 for the rush is, well, December 31st, the first lien lender 4 5 might not -- there might -- says there might be liquidity 6 problems. Last monthly operating report I looked at had \$300 7 million in cash on the balance sheet, plus. And there's no magic to December 31st. The first lienholder, I believe after 8 9 they've been paid down principal on their debt, setting aside 10 the letters of credit for the P and A obligations, maybe owe 11 about \$60 million. And I think even the Debtors' valuation of 12 this company is at least ten times that, if even more, so I 13 don't see that expiration of cash collateral is really an issue 14 with December 31st. So --THE COURT: So what is it that you're asking me to 15 16 do? 17 MR. ROTHBERG: Well, your Honor, I think the -- to be 18 fair, I think with respect to the process -- at least it was my 19 belief that if there was no global deal, you could start a 20 confirmation hearing in early January. That was kind of my 21 (indiscernible) because you've got Thanksgiving, you've got 22 Christmas in between, you've got prep work to do, and you could 23 start about that time and maybe finish by the end of January, 24 or maybe sooner, I don't know. And, of course, if they want to 25 make -- if they want to include us in a global settlement, we

- 1 could be done a lot quicker. But that's up to them, that's not 2 our issue. We've participated in negotiations.
- So a couple of points, your Honor, with respect to
 their proposed deadlines. If you look in their proposed order,
 they have an overall discovery cutoff of December 2nd --
- **THE COURT:** I saw that.

- MR. ROTHBERG: -- but an objection to confirmation deadline of November 28th. So it's -- normally you have your objection to confirmation after your discovery deadline.
- **THE COURT:** All right.
 - MR. ROTHBERG: So we would object to that date. We also have in paragraph nine where they're talking about additional depositions, limited to depositions of the Equity Committee members, which that's the first time we've heard that they wanted to take any depositions of Equity Committee members, we have Equity Committee members all over the country and so if they really did want to do that, they would -- it -- that would take time to organize that.
- **THE COURT:** So let me ask you this. What deposition 20 discovery do you think that the Equity Committee needs?
- MR. ROTHBERG: I think we're going to need -- well,
 there was a deposition of the PJT valuation that was canceled
 in view of all of the discussions --
- **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 25 MR. ROTHBERG: -- so that will have to be taken.

So you've got to go depose a representative of

25

a bad question.

- 1 PJT, right? Who else do you anticipate needing to depose?
- 2 MS. BROWN: The BDO documents may show
- 3 | inconsistencies which may lead to other depositions.
- 4 THE COURT: Let's assume --
- 5 MS. BROWN: So I would --
- 6 **THE COURT:** -- they do.
- 7 MS. BROWN: If it does, then it would be somebody
- 8 | probably from the company who's -- has experience in that area.
- 9 | I don't think anybody deposed the HR representative.
- 10 Mr. Menown didn't know any information about some of those
- 11 issues when he was deposed.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 13 | MS. BROWN: Could be multiple people. It could be
- 14 | the reserve director from the company. Again, he was scheduled
- 15 before and then was not held for the second deposition.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 17 MS. BROWN: Other than that, Mr. Schiller I guess
- 18 | would be probably -- or it could actually be V and E counsel
- 19 | because as I understand it, V and E counsel dealt directly with
- 20 auditors on the audit reports and advised or dealt directly
- 21 | with different issues.
- 22 | THE COURT: All right, that's probably going to be a
- 23 | fairly fruitless depo so --
- 24 MS. BROWN: It could be but it --
- 25 **THE COURT:** -- a couple of corporate reps and PJT

Ι

1 | representative, is that --

2 MS. BROWN: Most likely.

3 **THE COURT:** -- kind of where you see it? Now,

4 Mr. Meyer, I saw that and I raised my eyebrow when I saw it.

5 | Given the schedule that you're asking for, what would be the

6 purpose in taking the depos of the Equity Committee members?

7 MR. MEYER: Your Honor, I'm not sure that we will.

8 | think that of the many depositions that have occurred during

9 this case, we have not taken any depositions of the Equity

10 Committee members. I think that as I mentioned at the outset,

11 | our view is there's no need for additional time and I think

12 | sort of to focus on the depositions that they may need, think

13 | that the facts and circumstances surrounding kind of where we

14 | are, where we're going may inform do we need to do depositions

or not, and more than happy as I mentioned to Ms. Brown in the

16 | hallway before this to work with them on any logistics. And I

17 do not anticipate we would be deposing every Equity Committee

18 member.

23

19 **THE COURT:** Well, walk through with me if you will,

20 give me a set of circumstances, given the remaining issues that

21 | are on the table, with the understanding that you may not have

22 | great clarity but at least with what you think is on the table,

give me one good reason why you would take an Equity Committee

24 depo other than just to beat them up a little bit.

25 MR. MEYER: I don't think that we would do it to beat

And Mr. Moran's confirmed it's not our

- plan to call people in that circumstance as counter
 designation.
- **THE COURT:** It's not your plan to call people by designation?
- 5 MR. MEYER: Did I say that correctly?

- THE COURT: So if you're going to call somebody,

 you're not going to argue they're not available, you're going

 to have them here if you're going to call them, correct?
 - MR. MORAN: Our intention, your Honor, based on your prior instructions and your known preference for live testimony would be to call our people live. However, if someone --
- **THE COURT:** And perhaps the Federal Rules. I mean,
 13 it's not just something I made up, right?
 - MR. MORAN: As well, your Honor. And we just put that if somebody else had designated someone who was perhaps unavailable and was able to be called, that there would be an opportunity for us to counter designate (indiscernible)
 - THE COURT: Fair enough. But I think that if somebody is not available, I think that requires a motion so you know that's going to be coming, right? Okay.
 - MR. ROTHBERG: So -- and, your Honor, I want to point out we're not trying to extend out the discovery period for a lengthy, lengthy period of time and take a lot of depositions. That's not our goal. We're trying to focus on what the issues are that we're supposed to deal with. And so I could see, your

```
Honor, we would also -- depending on what the list of officers
 1
 2
    and directors and their compensation is, and what the
 3
    management incentive plan is, we may have to take a deposition
 4
    regarding the management incentive plan. We --
 5
              THE COURT: But who are you going to be deposing? I
 6
    didn't ask about subjects, I asked people. You're going to be
 7
    taking a 30(b)(6), right?
 8
              MR. ROTHBERG: Without seeing the document you're
 9
    asking me to answer a question without having seen the
10
    documents in advance so --
11
              THE COURT: But the document was created by
12
    management so the only person that's going to know anything
13
    about it is going to be a 30(b)(6) of the Debtor, right?
14
              MR. ROTHBERG: Right, right.
15
              THE COURT: So it's a 30(b)(6) of the Debtor.
              MR. ROTHBERG: Okay, yeah, that's -- I thought you
16
17
    were asking me for a specific name.
18
              THE COURT:
                          No.
19
              MR. ROTHBERG: So I would suggest, your Honor, that
20
    we would need that, we would need one of a BDO rep.
21
              THE COURT: For what?
22
              MR. ROTHBERG: Well, we get their documents, we may
23
    need to get -- if we need to get the documents confirmed so
24
    that they're admissible, we may have to take that deposition.
```

- 1 ask the BDO person about, in addition to what Ms. Brown said.
- 2 | So I'm looking at it maybe five or six at most.
- 3 **THE COURT:** All right.
- 4 MR. ROTHBERG: Just -- I'm just trying to give you an
- 5 | honest -- we're not looking to go do a 25 depositions and
- 6 extend this thing out until the spring. We realize a lot of
- 7 money is being burnt in fees and we want to get this over with
- 8 as much as everybody else.
- THE COURT: No, understood.
- 10 MR. ROTHBERG: Let's see, the other issue, your
- 11 | Honor, I think that would be helpful for today -- I mean,
- 12 | that's our comments with respect to the schedule. And as I
- 13 | said, I just don't see how you -- we're being asked in
- 14 paragraph ten, your Honor, setting aside the counter
- 15 designation issue, to exchange witness and exhibit lists on the
- 16 day that they propose is the last day for discovery. So we're
- 17 | going to be doing discovery and filing exhibit witness lists at
- 18 | the same time and have objected before that. The time -- the
- 19 | whole timeframe is backwards, if you ask me, but --
- 20 **THE COURT:** Ms. Staples, could you ask Mr. Alonzo to
- 21 | come out here, please? I'm sorry.
- 22 MR. ROTHBERG: Yeah, no, that's okay, your Honor.
- 23 Those -- that's our comments on the scheduling order.
- 24 The other thing we think would be helpful, your
- 25 Honor, is the motion that we filed today was a motion to

```
1
    temporarily allow a claim as a class 11 claim, which is the
    general unsecured class. And that will impact -- if that's
 2
    allowed, that will greatly impact the confirmation hearings.
 3
    Normally, you know, with -- we filed a class proof of claim,
 4
 5
    there was no objection to that claim, so normally it would be
 6
    allowed to vote. We wanted to bring this issue to the Court in
 7
    advance because we anticipate that they would just object to
    the claim at -- you know, at a later date and then force us to
 8
 9
    file a motion to temporarily allow the claim. So we think it
10
    would be helpful to have a hearing on that motion in advance of
11
    the confirmation hearing, and really the confirmation objection
12
    deadline, so that we could, you know, properly phrase our
13
    confirmation objection.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to talk
15
    about?
16
              MR. ROTHBERG: No, that's it, your Honor, thank you.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Meyer?
18
              MR. MEYER:
                          Your Honor, as to that last part, we
19
    agree with Mr. Rothberg. We do think to the extent you have
20
    availability, it would be helpful to have a hearing on his
21
    motion. I do anticipate we will be objecting to that pleading
22
    as he said. And I think in talking with the Creditors
23
    Committee we share that view, that having a hearing based on
    your availability would be helpful on that particular item.
24
25
                          So, Mr. Rothberg, let me ask you, let's
```

- 1 assume that the Debtor has, you know, picked something
- 2 | reasonable, three or four days to file a response. How quickly
- 3 | would you be available for a hearing?
- 4 MR. ROTHBERG: On that motion, I think fairly
- 5 quickly. Ms. Brown? So you're saying -- let me pull my
- 6 | calendar out just so I'm working along. So if they objected by
- 7 Friday, for instance, next week is a bad week, it being
- 8 Thanksgiving, but maybe the --
- 9 **THE COURT:** Yeah.
- 10 MR. ROTHBERG: -- following week. I think we already
- 11 | have a hearing set on the 29th at 9:00 o'clock on our motion to
- 12 certify the class proof of claim.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Yeah, I don't see that.
- MR. ROTHBERG: No? Oh.
- 15 **THE COURT:** It's not on --
- 16 MS. BROWN: It was a proposed date.
- 17 MR. ROTHBERG: Oh, that was -- okay.
- 18 | THE COURT: How long do you think that will take?
- 19 MS. BROWN: How long it'll take, the --
- 20 **THE COURT:** And I'm not going to put a stopwatch on
- 21 you. I'm just trying to -- I'm looking at blocks of time
- 22 looking for something.
- 23 MS. BROWN: Yeah, on the temporary allowance most of
- 24 | the arguments will be legal arguments. They'll just be -- I
- 25 mean, unless we can stipulate to some of the facts that were

25

```
41
1
    last 15 minutes, I wouldn't think.
 2
              THE COURT: At 2:00 o'clock as well?
              MR. ROTHBERG: At 2:00 o'clock on the same.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: I'm perfectly happy to set you at 2:30 --
 5
              MR. ROTHBERG:
                             Yeah.
 6
              THE COURT: -- with the understanding that if the CJ
 7
    Holding folks who are going to go at 2:00, if they go past
 8
    2:30, you're just going to wait.
 9
              MR. ROTHBERG: Yeah, that's fine, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: Okay, then we'll set the amended motion
11
    for expedited consideration motion of the official committee of
12
    equity security holders to temporarily allow class proof of
13
    claim for voting purposes at Docket Number 1639 for hearing on
14
    December the 1st, 2016, at 2:30. Does that work?
15
              MR. ROTHBERG: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Mr. Meyer, you okay with that?
17
              MR. MEYER:
                          I am, your Honor.
18
              MR. ROTHBERG: Do you want to set for them a
19
    deadline --
20
              THE COURT: I'm going to.
21
              MR. ROTHBERG: -- to respond? Yeah, okay.
22
              THE COURT: Yeah, if we're going to set a hearing for
23
    the 1st, Mr. Meyer, my thoughts about an answer date would be
24
    close of business on the 28th. That hopefully won't interfere
25
    too much with Thanksgiving holiday.
```

1 MR. MEYER: We're happy to do that, your Honor, that
2 works for us.

THE COURT: All right, so we'll look for responses by 5:00 o'clock Central Time on the 28th; does that work?

MR. ROTHBERG: That works, your Honor.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. So let's talk about -- and if I'm undoing something that's been negotiated in the agreement that I haven't seen or had a chance to read through, I want somebody to tell me. Currently have -- here is what I am inclined to do. I am inclined to -- I will move my Victoria docket on December the 13th, start you at 9:00 o'clock. will have the entire day. And what my suggestion would be is to give you that day for commencing confirmation. I would set the objection deadline for December the 9th. And I understand that that forces folks to work over the weekend, but you're kind of asking for this so I think that's going to be -- I think that's an acceptable amount of pain to bear, is to give you those two dates, step down for a couple of minutes and let you work backwards with respect to the other deadlines. tell you again, if we're going to do this, I want it agreed, absent a motion setting forth compelling cause, no depos of the Equity Committee members. I'm also looking at the deposition schedule and thinking about what Mr. Rothberg and Ms. Brown have said. I am thinking about limiting the discovery, absent an emergency motion, to a -- what I'm going to call a PJT depo

- 1 and no more than two Debtor 30(b)(6) depos. I haven't really
- 2 | heard a good reason why anything more than that is needed.
- 3 Mr. Rothberg, it certainly gives you the right to come back and
- 4 say, when you said that, --
- 5 MR. ROTHBERG: Right.
- 6 THE COURT: -- you couldn't possibly have been
- 7 | thinking about A, B, and C, and I will certainly take that up
- 8 on an emergency basis, if that comes up.
- 9 MR. ROTHBERG: Yeah, no, no, that's fine, your
- 10 | Honor. I think we need to see what they're producing and then
- 11 | if the two isn't enough, we'll come back and ask for another
- 12 one.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Got it.
- 14 MR. ROTHBERG: Your -- can I ask, is the -- a
- 15 question in terms of if it's not finished that week, what
- 16 you're doing with the holiday weeks? Because --
- 17 THE COURT: I -- honestly, Mr. Rothberg, I don't
- 18 know.
- 19 MR. ROTHBERG: Okay.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Because I will tell you CJ Holding starts
- 21 | later in the week so I -- and I don't know where that's going.
- 22 | I -- you know, there are things going on outside the courtroom
- 23 that I don't yet fully appreciate. So I've got blocks of time
- 24 reserved for different things, and as we sit here today, I
- 25 don't have the foggiest notion if all of them or none of them

- 1 | are going to proceed. The only thing I can give you today that
- 2 | I know with certainty is I can give you -- if I move the
- 3 Victoria docket, I can give you all day on the 13th, so that
- 4 ought to be a good starting point. And if it's -- if the
- 5 issues really come down to valuation, I can't imagine that
- 6 takes a day. If it's valuation and the management incentive
- 7 | plan, again, I don't know where that's going but, again, I
- 8 don't think that's too terribly complex. If it gets beyond
- 9 that, yeah, then I may be surprised.
- 10 MR. ROTHBERG: Well, we are going to have ample
- 11 evidence on causes of action that are not disclosed and that
- 12 are being released under the plan, so we anticipate having
- 13 | witnesses and exhibits on those issues.
- 14 THE COURT: No --
- 15 (Loud screech from speakerphone; pause)
- 16 Finally, I got that back. All right, sorry about
- 17 | that, folks. All right -- again, and I'm not trying to limit
- 18 you, Mr. Rothberg. I just -- you know, I honestly don't
- 19 | know --
- 20 MR. ROTHBERG: No, no, I --
- 21 **THE COURT:** -- how to answer the question. I can
- 22 | give you all day that day, we'll go as far as we can, and then
- 23 | we'll reassess when we get to the end of the day. I mean, if
- 24 Mr. Meyers (sic) plays super lawyer and he puts on his case in
- 25 | 20 minutes and then turns it over to you, then you've got --

- 1 | you know, you've got a fairly long day. If he takes all day
- 2 long to present his case in chief, then I understand I'm going
- 3 to have to start looking for other days.
- 4 MR. ROTHBERG: Yeah. I mean, that's -- and that's
- 5 | fine if he wants to take 20 minutes. I think we will finish it
- 6 | that week. But -- and just in fairness of disclosure, I'm out
- 7 the following two weeks after that.
- 8 THE COURT: Yeah, but you've got an ample substitute.
- 9 MR. ROTHBERG: I do have a good substitute.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Yeah, all right.
- 11 MR. ROTHBERG: Thank you.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Not that Ms. Brown is a substitute.
- 13 Maybe it's the other way around. I didn't intend anything by
- 14 that.
- MS. BROWN: You redeemed yourself.
- 16 | THE COURT: Thank you. All right, Mr. Meyer?
- 17 MR. MEYER: Your Honor, just one point in response to
- 18 Mr. Rothberg that I wanted to make sure was very clear is that
- 19 | the Equity Holders are not giving any release as part of this
- 20 plan. The plan is very, very clear on that front.
- 21 THE COURT: Yeah. I -- this, again, I'm -- all I'm
- 22 doing today is scheduling. I'm trying to balance. I am driven
- 23 | in large part by Wells' good citizenship, if you will, but
- 24 | always politely reminding me that I'm taking too long, which
- 25 | she does exquisitely. I mean, she gets up and she smiles at me

```
1
    and she tells me something funny and she gives me a new legal
 2
    term that I've never heard of before, and then she politely
 3
    says and, judge, you're taking too long, it's our money. I
    hear that. So I'm doing the best I can juggling dates.
 4
    give you -- if I -- having given you those two dates with the
 5
 6
    conditions that I have given you, do you think that you can
 7
    agree to a scheduling order or at least narrow the disputes?
 8
    And then I'm perfectly happy to pick days if you can't.
 9
              MR. MEYER:
                         I think that we can and appreciate your
10
    continued flexibility on all those points, your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Sure. Think we can do that in say maybe
12
    the next 15 minutes or so?
13
              MR. MEYER: I would hope so.
14
                          Okay. Mr. Rothberg?
              THE COURT:
              MR. ROTHBERG: Yeah. Just, your Honor, if it's okay
15
    if I can be excused and leave Ms. Brown, my able trial lawyer,
16
17
    to deal with the rest of the issues?
18
              THE COURT: All fine, thank you.
19
              MR. ROTHBERG: Thank you.
20
              THE COURT: All right, anyone else need to make a
    comment before we take a break?
21
22
         (No audible response)
23
              All right. Then, if you would, Ms. Staples will turn
24
    off the microphones back into chambers so you can have a
25
    conversation in the courtroom.
                                     Folks on the telephone
```

- 1 | for deposing the Equity Committee members.
- 2 MR. MORAN: Understood, your Honor. And then the
- 3 only other issue to flag for your Honor is that on December 1
- 4 at 2:30, in addition to hearing Docket Number 1549, we would
- 5 ask that we also hear Docket 1637 that was filed this
- 6 afternoon, which is the temporary allowance motion that would
- 7 | relate to Docket 1549. I think --
- 8 MS. BROWN: Just for clarity, 1549 was originally set
- 9 to be heard with confirmation. That's the motion for the
- 10 | allowance of the claim as a class claim; and then 1637 is the
- 11 | motion for temporary allowance of the claim at a certain
- 12 | amount --
- 13 **THE COURT:** Do you want to have them heard together?
- 14 MS. BROWN: I think they can be heard together.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Okay, that's fine by me.
- 16 MR. MORAN: Okay.
- 17 MS. BROWN: And with the stipulation that we do
- 18 understand that the evidence requirements are going to be
- 19 higher with the class claim motion --
- 20 **THE COURT:** Right.
- 21 MS. BROWN: -- and may take more time and may --
- 22 **THE COURT:** That's why I was asking.
- 23 MS. BROWN: Okay. And so I'm glad you asked.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 25 MS. BROWN: And that's why -- we did discuss that it

- 1 | may have to -- it may not conclude on December 1st because of
- 2 | that, but the effort is to work on stipulation of facts because
- 3 the Debtors, at least as to the Equity holders' interest,
- 4 | wouldn't be disagreeing as to those facts. And I well know the
- 5 | Court's lack of preference for affidavits, so we would have
- 6 intended to have Equity Committee members and testimony to
- 7 support that class claim at confirmation.
- 8 THE COURT: I'll leave it up to you all. You've got
- 9 | the time. I do not have time on Friday. I agreed to mediate a
- 10 case for one of the big dogs upstairs so my guess is I should
- 11 | show up for that. So you've got the time on the 1st, and if
- 12 you --
- 13 MR. MORAN: Okay, we'll try.
- 14 THE COURT: -- need additional time, I mean, it's
- 15 going to be the following week some time.
- 16 MR. MEYER: That's fine, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: That's fine? Okay, then we'll set both
- 18 matters on the 1st.
- 19 MR. MORAN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. And how do you intend on --
- 21 | are you going to upload a scheduling order, what are you
- 22 proposing to do?
- 23 MR. MORAN: We would intend to revise this order,
- 24 circulate it to everyone, get their sign-off, and then upload
- 25 an agreed order tomorrow probably.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         Fair enough. As always, if you would let
 2
    Mr. Alonzo know the moment it's been uploaded, that way I can
    reach out and grab it. If you have problems, somebody rethinks
 3
    this or there's simply a misunderstanding as to what the dates
 4
 5
    are, I want you to contact Mr. Alonzo tomorrow because I simply
 6
    want to get this issue resolved so everybody can move forward,
 7
    all right?
 8
              MR. MORAN: Absolutely.
 9
              THE COURT:
                         All right.
10
              MR. MORAN:
                          Thank you, your Honor.
                          Thank you. Anything else we need to
11
              THE COURT:
12
    address, Mr. Meyer?
13
              MR. MEYER: Your Honor, briefly I think just a
14
    similar point that I mentioned a couple of the disclosure-
15
    related items that I've talked about with Mr. Rothberg and
16
    Ms. Brown. I would envision a similar process on the
17
    disclosure statement supplement but we will -- I'll work with
18
    Ms. Brown to address the points that I highlighted on the
19
    record and we would submit that similarly tomorrow for
20
    approval.
21
              THE COURT: I am perfectly happy to do that with this
22
    one caveat. Since it was filed today, and I didn't have an
23
    opportunity to read it until I took a break, is I don't mind
24
    going ahead and approving it by agreement with the
25
    understanding that it is -- what I'd like to do is just give it
```

- 1 conditional approval and then just take it up at the beginning 2 of confirmation. If anyone else, you know, gets it today and 3 has a problem with it, wants to raise an issue, I simply -- I 4 think that's appropriate. Do you have any problem with 5 proceeding that way? MR. MEYER: I think we're going to get there with 6 7 Ms. Brown on her comments and so I'm fine with that approach, 8 your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Ms. Brown, it actually gives you all the 10 way to confirmation essentially to continue to deal with the 11 issues. Do you have any issue with doing it as a conditional 12 approval by agreement subject to raising objections at 13 confirmation, if you think they still exist? Debtors' taking 14 the risk. I don't see the downside for you. 15 MS. BROWN: No, but the issue with respect to having 16 the two motions heard together on the 1st, --17 THE COURT: Yes? 18 MS. BROWN: -- it's going to affect all the other 19
 - deadlines then if we don't conclude on the 1st. So what I would suggest is that we -- I might need to revisit with the Debtors on that.
 - THE COURT: Fair enough. I don't know why you'd do them both together. I just genuinely don't. I didn't raise it just for the sake of raising it, but --
- 25 MS. BROWN: Yeah.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join I Judan

November 15, 2016_

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER